

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

S

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/632,918	08/04/2003	Remo Corghi	CORGHI14	4929		
1444	7590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER			
BROWDY	AND NEIMARK, P.L	HANLEY, JOHN C				
624 NINTH SUITE 300	STREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	TON, DC 20001-5303		2856			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/13/200	DATE MAIL ED: 04/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/632,918		CORGHI, REMO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		John C Hanle	<u> </u>	2856				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	eation appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC MISSION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the precision of the provision	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nication.  days, a reply within the statutor utory period will apply and will exitly by statute, cause the applicate.	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on						
2a)□	•	b)⊠ This action is non	-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	• • •	•	` ,	4 404(4)			
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	·						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been rolocuments have been rolocuments fave been rolocument all Bureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications s have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4/03</u> .	TO/SB/08) 5)		atent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,918

Art Unit: 2856

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McInnes et al (US-6244108) in view of Bux et al (US-6122957). McInnes et al teach all of the elements of the balancing machine of claims 1 and 2, and the method steps of claim 4, except for the apparatus and method of imaging the inner rim of the wheel and displaying the proper position of the balancing weights on the image. Bux et al, Figure 4, shows the use of a camera 10 to image the inner rim of a wheel being balanced. In the last paragraph before the claims, Bux et al teach that the display device is connected to the computer of the balancing machine and graphically shows the evaluated outline as well as the optimal balancing values at the corresponding positions of the outline as determined by the computer such that, by means of the display, the optimal positions for mounting the balancing weights at the rim of the wheel may be found. Two paragraphs before the last, Bux et al indicate that the camera 10 is provided whose picture scanning area 11 is chosen such that the inner outline of the rim may be scanned completely. The camera converts the received picture into electrical signals which are processed in the connected computer in such a way that the outline is evaluated from the picture of the scanned portions of the rim. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 2856

applicant's invention to modify the display of the balancing machine of McInnes et at by using a camera, as taught in Bux et al, to display an outline of the rim so that the proper position of the weights to be added are graphically displayed on the display of the rim, so the operator can determine where to place the weights.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McInnes et al in view of Bux et al as applied to claims 1,2 and 4 above, and further in view of Rothamel et al (US-5909097). McInnes et al and Bux et al lack a specific teaching of braking the rotation of the balancing shaft to stop the wheel being balanced so that the proper weight addition position is within the view of the operator on the display screen. Rothamel et al teaches a method of stopping an electric motor-driven balancing machine main shaft to a desired position so that the proper position of the weight to be applied is clear and available to the operator. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to modify the balancing machine of the combination of McInnes et al in view of Bux et al to stop in a desired location for the purpose of proper weight addition, as taught in Rothemel et al. It would have been further obvious to stop the wheel in a position such that the desired position of weight placement is viewable on the display, since that is the purpose of the display of Bux et al; i.e., to indicate placement of the weight on the rim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C Hanley whose telephone number is 571-272-2195. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The

Application/Control Number: 10/632,918

Art Unit: 2856

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JCH

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800